INTRODUCTION TO THE ACADEMIC RESEARCH

This book provides a model for diagnosing errors in computation and providing meaningful instructional strategies for timely, pinpointed intervention. The book begins with a two-part section called “Big Ideas in Computation and Problem Solving.” That section is included because before students consider specific algorithms, they should have an understanding of the role our base-ten place-value system plays in multidigit computation—along with the types of actions and problem structures that are suggested by each operation.

Each unit on computation begins with a diagnostic test (in multiple-choice format), followed by an Item Analysis Table that keys student incorrect test responses to specific error patterns. Each distractor on the tests is based on a specific error pattern. A comprehensive section, “Error Patterns & Intervention Activities,” then follows. This section provides detailed analysis of error patterns with supporting Intervention Activities for each operation. The items used on the diagnostic tests are drawn from this section. Each unit ends with a short section of supplemental practice.

Beattie and Algozzine (1982) note that when teachers use diagnostic tests to look for error patterns, “testing for teaching begins to evolve” (p. 47). And because diagnostic testing is just one of many tools to analyze student understanding, with each Item Analysis Table are additional suggestions to delve into the rationale of student errors.

According to Thanheiser (2009), “To help their students learn about numbers and algorithms, teachers need more than ability to perform algorithms. They need to be able to explain the mathematics underlying the algorithms in a way that will help children understand” (p. 277). Research by Hill, Rowan, and Ball (2005) found that this type of knowledge, known as mathematical knowledge for teaching (or pedagogical content knowledge), positively predicted mathematics student achievement gains in Grades 1 and 3.

The Intervention Activities in this book are based on instructional practices supported by academic research that teach for meaning. The activities place a strong emphasis on using place value as a way to develop this understanding. The practices employed include activating prior knowledge, using representations, using estimation and mental math, introducing alternative algorithms, and participating in instructional games.

According to Kilpatrick, Swafford, and Bradford (2001), “when students practice procedures they do not understand, there is a danger they will practice incorrect procedures, thereby making it more difficult to learn correct
ones. . . . Further, when students learn a procedure without understanding, they need extensive practice so as not to forget the steps” (pp. 122–123).

A common subtraction error is shown at the right. Fuson and Briars (1990) found that students who learn to subtract with understanding rarely make this error.

An important premise of this book is that when teachers analyze student work for conceptual and procedural misconceptions—and then provide timely, targeted, and meaningful intervention—the probability of the errors repeating in the future decreases. Hill, Ball, and Schilling (2008), citing the research of others, found when teachers investigated how students learn particular subject matter, such as whole-number operations, “their classroom practices changed and student learning was improved over that of teachers in comparison groups” (p. 376). According to Cox (1975), systematic errors (errors that occur in at least three out of five problems for a specific algorithmic computation) are potentially remediable, “but without proper instructional intervention the systematic errors will continue for long periods of time” (p. 152).

It is important to emphasize that class or individual discussions of the errors should be conducted as part of a positive learning experience—one that allows for students to use reasoning and problem solving to explore why an erroneous procedure may not yield the correct answer.

Finally, any discussion on intervention would be incomplete without addressing key factors that affect the entire child, such as the principle of equity, student dispositions, and differentiating instruction. These areas are addressed in this research chapter.

**EQUITY AND QUALITY IN THE MATH CLASSROOM**

*Equity* and *quality* in the math classroom often imply providing every student with both an equal and a quality learning experience. Hiebert and colleagues (1997) define equity such that “every learner—bilingual students, handicapped students, students of all ethnic groups, students who live in poverty, girls, and boys—can learn mathematics with understanding. In order to do this, each student must have access to learning with understanding” (p. 65).

The research of Campbell (1995) and others has shown that *all* children, including those who have been traditionally underserved, can learn mathematics when they have access to high-quality instruction and instructional materials that promote their learning.

---

**A LOOK AT THE ACADEMIC RESEARCH**

"As we teach computation procedures, we need to remember that our students are not necessarily learning what we think we are teaching; we need to keep our eyes and ears open to find out what our students are actually learning. We need to be alert for error patterns!"

—Ashlock (2010, p. 14)

**The Equity Principle**

"Excellence in mathematics education requires equity—high expectations and strong support for all students."

—NCTM (2000, p. 12)

**The Curriculum Principle**

"A curriculum is more than a collection of activities: it must be coherent, focused on important mathematics and well articulated across the grades."

—NCTM (2000, p. 14)
Since the passage of Public Law 94-142 in 1975 and its reauthorization as the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA) in 2004, students with a variety of disabilities are increasingly being taught mathematics in inclusive classrooms. In fact, the majority of students with disabilities are now in regular classrooms for at least a portion of each school day. According to the work of Truelove, Holaway-Johnson, Leslie, and Smith (2007), when teachers implement instructional strategies designed to help those with learning disabilities, all students—not just those with disabilities—will likely benefit.

**STUDENT DISPOSITIONS**

During the elementary grades, students often acquire individual views and dispositions toward the learning of mathematics that last for the rest of their lives. Such dispositions as curiosity, cooperation, and perseverance are personal habits that play a key role in future success with mathematics both in school and beyond.

An important question to ask is, “Why is it important to take student dispositions into account?” The answer may lie in the work of Dossey, Mullis, Lindquist, and Chambers (1988), based on various national assessments. They found that students who enjoy mathematics and perceive its relevance have higher proficiency scores than students with more negative perspectives. They also found that students become less positive about mathematics as they proceed through school; both confidence in and enjoyment of mathematics appear to decline as students progress from elementary to high school.

One implication of this research is that mathematics instruction should not only enable students to learn skills and understandings but also promote the desire to use what has been learned. According to Lannin, Arbaugh, Barker, and Townsend (2006), “Part of the process of learning and solving problems includes making errors that, if examined, can lead to further mathematical insight” (p. 182). Lannin and colleagues, and others, believe that teachers should guide students to think and reflect about their errors through a process of recognizing, attributing, and reconciling.

This book—based on a philosophy of using error analysis with targeted interventions that are meaningful, along with follow-up instructional games and activities—is designed to promote positive learning experiences and favorable student dispositions toward mathematics.

Finally, children with emotional and behavioral disorders (EBD) often present a variety of challenges to educators. EBD students are especially prone to frustration when
performing complex tasks. Guetzloe (2001) and others suggest that nonaggressive strategies be used with EBD students to encourage them to stay in class and in school.

**ACTIVATING PRIOR KNOWLEDGE**

According to Steele (2002) and many others, teachers should review prerequisite skills or concepts no matter how long ago they were taught. Such review is even more important for students who have memory deficits, because they may quickly forget previously mastered skills, or they may have significant gaps in their knowledge.

According to the TIMSS (Trends in International Math and Science Study), teachers in the United States tend to do most of the mental work of introducing, explaining, and demonstrating new concepts—and 60% of the time, they do not link new ideas with other concepts and activities. In Japan, where students scored near the top on the TIMSS, teachers made explicit connections in 96% of the lessons (U.S. Department of Education, 1996).

The Intervention Activities in this book build on students’ prior knowledge by using familiar concepts and tools to develop new content. For example, familiar place value concepts are embedded as a key vehicle to develop the algorithms for each operation. Familiar addition and multiplication tables are used to reinforce subtraction and division facts, respectively.

**REPRESENTATIONS**

“The term representation refers both to process and to product” (National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 2000, p. 67). As a process, it refers to creating in one’s mind a mental image of a mathematical idea. As a product, it refers to a physical form of that idea, such as a manipulative, an illustration, or even a symbolic expression. Why is the idea of representation so important? Simply stated, the more ways a student can think about a mathematical concept, the better that student will understand the underlying mathematical idea.

A Concrete → Semiconcrete → Abstract Model of Instruction: A number of studies suggest that concept development is strong when students begin with a tactile, hands-on model (concrete), move to the use of illustrations of those objects (semiconcrete), and finally move

"One of the most reliable findings from research is that students learn when they are given opportunities to learn. Providing an opportunity to learn means setting up the conditions for learning that take into account students’ entry knowledge, the nature and purpose of the tasks and activities, and so on.”

---Hiebert (2003, p. 10)

The Representation Standard

“Instructional programs from prekindergarten through grade 12 should enable all students to

- create and use representations to organize, record, and communicate mathematical ideas;
- select, apply, and translate among mathematical representations to solve problems;
- use representations to model and interpret physical, social, and mathematical phenomena.”

---NCTM (2000, p. 67)
to a symbolic algorithm (abstract). Psychologist Jerome Bruner (1966) referred to those stages as enactive, iconic, and symbolic. Through his research, Bruner theorized that students learn mathematics better when their lessons progress through those three stages. Miller and Hudson (2007) found that such a three-stage model helps students with learning disabilities master concepts involving whole numbers, fractions, and algebra. Many of the intervention activities in this book are designed so that students first encounter manipulatives, then refer to drawings of those objects, and finally develop computational proficiency by connecting those representations to an abstract algorithm.

### Research: Hands-On Activities; Manipulatives; Diagrams

In a study of over 7,000 students, Wenglinsky (2000) found that students whose teachers conduct hands-on learning activities outperform their peers by more than 70% of a grade level in math on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP).

In a meta-analysis of 60 research studies, Sowell (1989) found that for students of all ages, math achievement is increased and students’ attitudes toward math are improved with the long-term use of manipulative materials.

Goldin (2003), in analyzing many research studies, concluded that “bona fide representational power does not stand in opposition to formal proficiency, but, rather, strengthens it” (p. 283).

Ferrucci, Yeap, and Carter (2003) found, from their observations of Singapore schools and curricula, that modeling with diagrams is a powerful tool for children to use to enhance their problem-solving and algebraic reasoning skills.

### ESTIMATION AND MENTAL MATH

Traditionally, estimation and mental math have been thought of as supplemental skills. However, based on surveys of adults, Carlton (1980) found that most of the mathematics used in everyday living relies far more on estimation and mental computation than on traditional computation.

Also, traditionally, mental math and estimation have been taught after students master pencil-and-paper computation. However, Kilpatrick and colleagues (2001) found not only that children can learn to compute mentally and to estimate before learning formal pencil-and-paper computational procedures but also that mental math and estimation

---

**Estimation** involves a process of obtaining an approximate answer (rather than an exact answer).

**Mental math** involves a process of obtaining an exact answer in your head.

“Estimation relates to every important mathematics concept and skill developed in elementary school.”

—Reys and Reys (1990, p. 22)
activities prior to formal work with computation actually enhance the learning of computation.

This book describes and integrates a variety of strategies to use for estimation. Front-end (with adjustment), rounding, and compatible numbers are all suggested as ways to check for the reasonableness of results. Because some teachers may not be as familiar with front-end estimation as, say, with rounding, this book provides instructional material on using front-end estimation for each operation. Front-end estimation focuses on the “front-end” digit of a number—the digit in the place-value position that contributes the most to the final answer. This method often provides better estimates than the rounding method because numbers that are close to the “middle” of a range (such as 352 or 349) are not dramatically rounded up (400) or down (300). Such an example is illustrated at the right.

Although many struggling students find the rounding method to be difficult, most traditional textbooks teach that method as the primary way to form estimates. To make rounding accessible to more students, this book includes a lesson titled “Roller Coaster Rounding.” The roller coaster model provides a way for students to visualize the rounding process. This book also provides instruction for using compatible (nice) numbers to estimate results. Students should be allowed to use the estimation strategy with which they are most comfortable, and they should be given ample opportunities to discuss those strategies with one another.

To promote fluency with mental math for addition and subtraction, this book provides Intervention Activities that use an “empty (open) number line” as a model. A growing body of research has reported on an international trend toward its use. According to Bobis (2007), students using the empty number line concluded that it is “easier to learn and remember than the pencil-and-paper method” essentially because the actions performed on an empty number line represent the student’s thinking (p. 411).

According to O’Loughlin (2007), “Some children need a model like the open number line to keep a record of their counting and help them think while experimenting with patterns and relationships and thus developing number sense” (p. 134). Further, many students have difficulty learning the standard subtraction algorithm. The standard algorithm, shown at the right, is often the starting point of subtraction instruction. The following section addresses the benefits of using alternative algorithms with struggling students.
A precise, systematic method for solving a class of problems (Maurer, 1998, p. 21). In school mathematics, students generally learn a traditional algorithm for each operation that is quite efficient. However, according to Van de Walle (2001), “Each of the traditional algorithms is simply a clever way to record an operation for a single place value with transitions (trades, ‘borrows,’ or ‘carries’) to an adjacent position” (p. 171). Although many students experience success using traditional algorithms, some students do not.

Unfortunately, some teachers give struggling students more instruction and practice using the same algorithms for which those students have already demonstrated failure. According to Ellis and Yeh (2008), “the traditional algorithms used for subtraction and multiplication are very efficient but not very transparent—they do not allow students to see why the methods work. When students learn traditional algorithms by rote, they often come to think of this as the way to do arithmetic rather than as one way among many” (p. 368).

These students often continue to struggle with the following kinds of questions:

**Research: Asking Children to Compare Estimation Strategies**

Star, Kenyon, Joiner, and Rittle-Johnson (2010), citing several research studies, concluded “a promising approach that has emerged from research in mathematics education and cognitive psychology emphasizes the role of comparison—comparing and contrasting multiple solution methods—in helping students learn to estimate” (p. 557).

**Research: Children’s Thinking on Mental Math**

Many students think that mental math is nothing more than doing a traditional algorithm in your head. Reys and Barger (1994) found that teaching and practicing the written algorithms before doing any mental math actually increases the likelihood that children will think that way.

**Research: Using an Empty Number Line for Mental Math**

Beishuizen (2001) found that students are able to successfully use the empty number line for two-digit addition and subtraction. The empty number line aids students in recording and making sense of a variety of solution strategies.

**History of the Word Algorithm**

Around 780–850 C.E., Muhammad ibn-Musa al-Khwarizmi wrote *Book on Addition and Subtraction After the Method of the Indians* (title translated from the Arabic). In his book, solutions to problems are given in steps, or recipes. The word for these recipes, *algorithm*, is derived from the Latin that begins with *Dixit Algorismi*, or “al-Khwarizmi says.”

—Pickreign and Rogers (2006, pp. 42–47)
• When multiplying with renaming, why do you multiply the next digit in the multiplicand before you add, rather than after?

• When multiplying by a 2-digit number, why do you move the second partial product one space to the left?

• In long division, why do you multiply and subtract as part of the process?

• In long division, what is the reason for the use of the phrase “bring down”?

This book provides extensive, step-by-step Intervention Activities to address the traditional algorithms. However, the Intervention Activities also include alternative algorithms for each operation. According to Lin (2007/2008), alternative methods help students “understand how other algorithms work and prompt them to think more deeply about numbers and equations” (p. 298). It should be noted that alternative algorithms not only are effective with students who struggle with traditional algorithms but are also effective with all students up front—and may be used instead of those algorithms (or in addition to them). Many textbook programs include alternative algorithms with their materials because they benefit all students.

One such alternative algorithm is for two-digit multiplication that uses grids to help find partial products. Englert and Sinicrope (1994) noted that “although the time spent in developing the multiplication algorithm using this visual approach is greater than the time needed to use a more traditional approach, less time is needed for review and reteaching. Students are able to attach meaning to the multiplication algorithm” (p. 447).

An important premise of this book is that for each operation, the dual benefits of teaching for understanding and saving time can be achieved by using meaningful alternative algorithms.

DIFFERENTIATING INSTRUCTION

According to Stiff, Johnson, and Johnson (1993), “if all students were the same, a teacher’s job would be simple—and boring. Researchers would develop one comprehensive theory of learning; teachers would simply follow the recipe to produce high levels of success for ‘all’ students. The challenge is to find the combination of strategies that enable all students to reach their full potential” (p. 12).

“A depressing thing about arithmetic badly taught is that it destroys a child’s intellect and, to some extent, his integrity. Before they are taught arithmetic, children will not give their assent to utter nonsense; afterwards they will. Instead of looking at things and thinking about them, they will make wild guesses in the hopes of pleasing the teacher.”

—Sawyer (1943)

“The standard algorithms used in the United States are not universal. . . . As our schools become more and more diverse, it is important that students’ knowledge from their home cultures is valued within the classroom. Having students share alternative methods for doing arithmetic is one way to do so and honors the knowledge of their parents and community elders.”

—Ellis and Yeh (2008, p. 368)

More Than One Way to Perform an Operation

“Most people have been taught only one way, so they quite naturally assume that there is only one way. The realization that there are many possible procedures to follow when operating on numbers can change the way that people think of mathematics.”

—Sgroi (1998, p. 81)
One way to differentiate instruction is to use scaffolding. The Intervention Activities in this book are presented through step-by-step instruction with guided questions to pose to students—thus providing effective scaffolding.

According to Martin (2006), “to meet the needs of all students and design programs that are responsive to their intellectual strengths and personal interests, we must explore alternatives to traditional mathematics instruction. We need to examine not only what is taught, but how it is taught and how students learn” (p. iv).

Tomlinson (1999) advocates that teachers make accommodations to content (what you want students to learn), process (the way students make sense out of the content), and product (student outcomes at the end of the lesson) because, as she is known for saying, “one size does not fit all.” According to Pierce and Adams (2005), differentiating instruction involves first determining which of those parts of the lesson you want to tier. This decision is based on students’ readiness and learning styles. According to Little, Hauser, and Corbishley (2009), “Through tiering, mathematics teachers can give all students challenging tasks while ensuring sufficient scaffolding for struggling students and reducing repetition for more advanced students” (p. 36).

Cognitive research on multiple intelligences (Gardner, 1991) provides strong evidence demonstrating the need for children to experience a variety of pedagogical methods. Gardner concluded that students “possess different kinds of minds and therefore learn, remember, perform, and understand in different ways” (p. 11). As Martin (2007) puts it, “consider trying to learn to dance by reading a book and memorizing the steps. We learn when we are actively involved in the learning process and use a variety of learning modalities. Not all students have the same talents, learn the same way, or have the same interests and abilities” (p. iv).

Through the use of questioning, mathematical reasoning, and representations, this book tiers “process” while addressing the verbal/linguistic, mathematical/logical, and visual/spatial intelligences outlined by Gardner.

The verbal/linguistic intelligence is also addressed through student writing. This book provides suggestions for students to (1) write about procedures used in algorithms, (2) compare different algorithms for a given operation, and (3) write word problems that can be solved using a given operation. The writing may be viewed as a form of alternative assessment—providing a way for teachers to
According to Fello and Paquette (2009), “Writing in mathematics classrooms is imperative for students to describe their thinking processes, their methodology for solving problems, and their explanations for solutions” (p. 413).

According to the LdPride Web site, www.LdPride.net, “information about learning styles and multiple intelligences is helpful for everyone, but especially for people with learning disabilities and attention deficit disorder. Knowing your learning style will help you develop coping strategies to compensate for your weaknesses and capitalize on your strengths” (para. 1).

### INSTRUCTIONAL GAMES

A number of studies suggest that the use of instructional games has the simultaneous goals of improved learning outcomes and increased student motivation for learning mathematics. Good instructional games provide “authentic” experiences for the construction and reinforcement of concepts—while ensuring that every child has an opportunity to participate.

Holton, Ahmed, Williams, and Hill (2001) reported that it is often difficult to convince students to check their answers: “In the context of a game, however, checking conjectures has a clear purpose—if the conjecture is wrong, then the child is likely to lose. In this regard, games provide an opportunity for teachers to question students about their thinking. One of the inhibiting factors in learning new concepts is the fear of failure and of getting wrong answers. Incorrect strategies within game situations are not recorded for later correction and so the stigma of failure does not exist” (p. 406).

The domino-type games **Balance the +/– Number Sentence!** and **Balance the ×/÷ Number Sentence!** are included in this book to promote memorization of the facts, mental math, and trial-and-error thinking to balance number sentences. By balancing number sentences, students develop the concepts of *variable* and *equality*—thus bridging arithmetic skills with algebraic reasoning.

In the **Balance the Number Sentence!** games, the unknowns appear in different positions in the sentences to foster the thinking described above. In particular, the research of Knuth, Stephens, McNeil, and Alibali (2006) and others suggests that many students at all grade levels have an inadequate understanding of what the equal sign means. Behr, Erlwanger, and Nichols (1980) found that young students often think the equal sign separates “the problem” from “the solution.” They see the equal sign as a signal to perform an operation—rather than as a symbol of *equality* and *balance*.
Behr found that children do not change this thinking as they get older. Students who do not understand the meaning of the equal sign may conclude that the solutions for the number sentences at the left are 14, 48, and 11, respectively. Such erroneous thinking is especially common among students who are only exposed to number sentences where the unknown is alone on one side of the equation, as in $8 + 7 = \square$.

A key goal of this book is to provide material for teachers to use to make their math classes more meaningful and engaging. The instructional games, along with the other activities in the book, are designed to serve that purpose.

---

**Number Sentences Where the Unknowns Are in Different Positions**

- $\square + 3 = 11$
- $12 = \square \times 4$
- $4 + 7 = \square + 5$

"The problem is, kids get to eighth grade and they don’t like math because it’s not taught in an interesting manner."

—McGee (Rossi, 2009), former Illinois Superintendent of Schools, commenting on how to attack the math gap

---

**Research: Instructional Games in Mathematics**

Bright, Harvey, and Wheeler (1979) found that games are effective for helping students acquire, practice, and transfer mathematical concepts and problem-solving abilities.

Klein and Freitag (1991) found that the use of instructional games increases student interest, satisfaction, and continuing motivation.

Allen and Main (1976) found that including instructional gaming in a mathematics curriculum helped to reduce the rate of absenteeism in inner-city schools.

---

**RESPONSE TO INTERVENTION**

Response to Intervention (RtI) is a multitier approach to the early identification and support of students with learning needs. Rather than testing students for learning disabilities after achievement failure has occurred, RtI identifies students whose performance does not match that of their peers early in the learning process so that they can receive assistance before they fall behind. RtI provides appropriate, increasingly intense research-based interventions to match each student’s needs. Core features include differentiated instruction, guided and independent practice, and frequent progress monitoring with data-driven decision making (the use of student-performance data to continually evaluate the effectiveness of teaching and to make more informed instructional decisions).

RtI frequently is implemented as a three-tiered model. The three tiers generally used are similar to those described on page 13. Students who are participating in intervention programs at any of these tiers are part of the target
audience for the instructional strategies provided in this book. As such, the material in this book may be delivered to the full classroom, to small groups, or to individuals.

De Corte, Greer, and Verschaffel (1996) found that learning is enhanced when teachers have access to the knowledge that learners bring to the lesson, use this knowledge as part of instruction, monitor students’ changing conceptions as the lesson proceeds, and provide appropriate intervening instruction. According to Safer and Fleischman (2005), “Research has demonstrated that when teachers use student progress monitoring, students learn more, teacher decision making improves, and students become more aware of their own performance” (p. 82).

According to Fisher and Kopenski (2007/2008), the use of item analysis is an effective way for teachers to diagnose student misconceptions, to improve and adjust instruction, and to prevent or reduce errors. Teachers using this book should find the error-analysis approach to be a valuable progress-monitoring tool. The sets of practice exercises at the end of each unit provide an additional progress-monitoring tool because they may be used as posttests (due to the fact that they are broken into parts that align with the parts of the diagnostic tests).

Meaningful practice is another aspect of RtI. Sutton and Krueger (2002) found that sufficient practice is essential for learning mathematics, but it is also essential that students understand the skill being practiced—so that they do not inadvertently practice incorrect procedures.

This book builds on the evidence cited in this chapter on academic research by providing a model of assessment or diagnosis (that is manageable and ongoing), intervention activities (delivered early in the process and that teach for understanding with multiple approaches), and practice or follow-up activities—enabling teachers to use real-time data to meet the needs of individual students.

**Tier 1: Universal Interventions**
Universal interventions occur in the classroom for all students; they are preventative, proactive, and differentiated.

**Tier 2: Targeted Group Interventions**
Targeted group interventions provide additional interventions to Tier 1 instruction for at-risk students who demonstrate a specific need; they involve frequent assessment.

**Tier 3: Intensive, Individual Interventions**
Intensive, individual interventions are individually administered; they are assessment-based, of high intensity, and of longer duration.

Adapted from Batsche and colleagues (2005)

**Web Sites That Provide Information and Resources About RtI**
- National Center on Response to Intervention: www.rti4success.org
- RTI Action Network: http://www.rtinetwork.org

“Without information about [our] students’ skills, understanding, and individual approaches to mathematics, teachers have nothing to guide their work.”

—Mokros, Russell, and Economopoulos (1995, p. 84)

“We teach children to look for patterns in dealing with numbers; these patterns help children discover the structure of our number system. Similarly, teachers must look for patterns in the data they collect from children who are experiencing problems in computational skills. Recognizing patterns in the errors a child is making—that the child is, in other words, making a systematic error—is the initial step toward remediation of the error.”

—Cox (1975, p. 156)
QUESTIONS FOR TEACHER REFLECTION

1. Consider the quote below from W. W. Sawyer. Explain how this quote relates to the type of computation instruction some students unfortunately experience. Use citations from this research section to support the importance of teaching for understanding. (This quote is used on page 9 of this book.)

“The depressing thing about arithmetic badly taught is that it destroys a child’s intellect and, to some extent, his integrity. Before they are taught arithmetic, children will not give their assent to utter nonsense; afterwards they will. Instead of looking at things and thinking about them, they will make wild guesses in the hopes of pleasing the teacher.” (Sawyer, 1943)

2. Why is the promotion of positive student dispositions toward mathematics important? Include any personal experiences in your discussion.

3. What are representations? Why is it important for students to experience a variety of representations for a mathematics concept?

4. Why should students learn how to estimate, and why is estimation more than just a “supplemental skill”? How do you use estimation in your instructional strategies?

5. What is an algorithm? Discuss why the use of alternative algorithms is often recommended for whole number computation.

6. Why is it so important to activate prior knowledge before beginning a new topic—especially for the struggling student? Choose one of the operations. Discuss what prerequisite skills you would address with your students prior to instruction on the operation per se.

7. What is meant by differentiating instruction? How can differentiating instruction serve to achieve equity in the mathematics classroom?